Acoustic Casimir effect for Graphene

Y. Koohsarian [a 1], K. Javidan [a 2], A. Shirzad [b,c 3]

[a] *Department of Physics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad*
P.O.Box 91775-1436, Mashhad, Iran

[b] *Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology*
P.O.Box 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran,

[c] *School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),*
P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

arXiv:1702.08600v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 28 Feb 2017

Abstract

By applying a new technique, we obtain the acoustic Casimir energy, for a few-layer Graphene membrane suspended over a rectangular trench, at finite temperature, and the Casimir forces are interpreted as temperature-dependent correction terms to the built-in (surface) tensions of the membrane. We show that these corrections generally break the tensional isotropy of the membrane, and can increase or decrease the membrane tension. We demonstrate that for a rectangular trench with side-lengths both in the order of few micrometers, these temperature corrections are negligible ($\sim 10^{-10} N/m$), while for a narrow rectangular trench with side-lengths in the order of few nanometers and few micrometers, these corrections are expected to be noticeable ($\sim 10^{-4} N/m$) at the room temperature. These temperature corrections would be even more considerable by increasing the purity and/or the temperature of the Graphene membrane. Consequently we introduce a corrected version for the fundamental resonance frequency of the Graphene resonator. These temperature corrections can be applied for tuning the built-in tension, and consequently, the resonance frequency of the Graphene resonator, specifically at higher temperatures.

Keywords
Acoustic Casimir effect, Membrane, Temperature correction, built-in tension, Resonance frequency, Graphene sheet.

1 Introduction

The Casimir effect, as one of the most important manifestations of the zero-point oscillations of quantum fields [1], nowadays has been investigated theoretically and experimentally for various systems with different boundary conditions and different geometries, see e.g. [2–4] as review. As we know, the Casimir forces are observable mostly in microscale and smaller dimensions, so the Casimir effect can imply significant results for ultra-small

[1] yo.koohsarian@mail.um.ac.ir
[2] Javidan@um.ac.ir
[3] shirzad@ipm.ir

structures, see [5–7] as review. Graphen, a single-atom-thick layer of Carbon atoms covalently bounded in a honeycomb lattice, as the thinnest known material with remarkable mechanical and electrical properties, is expected to have many promising applications in ultra-small-dimension systems, such as the nano-electro-mechanical systems (known as NEMS), see e.g. [8–10]. A Graphen NEMS is typically a clamped Graphene membrane actuated to obtain very sensitive resonances, specifically in the first resonance mode [11]. In this paper, we investigate the acoustic Casimir effect and its physical consequences for a few-layer Graphene sheet suspended over a rectangular trench. The acoustic Casimir effect for a membrane is induced actually from the quantum zero-point energy of the transverse acoustic vibrations in the membrane, which behave as harmonic oscillation modes. Taking these oscillation modes as quantum harmonic oscillators, one can find the acoustic zero-point energy of the membrane, as a sum over the zero-point energies of the harmonic oscillation modes. Then, the acoustic Casimir energy of the membrane, would be obtained by regularizing this zero-point energy sum, and the acoustic Casimir forces are given by differentiating the Casimir energy with respect to the side-lengths of the trench. Note that these Casimir forces are different from the usual electromagnetic Casimir interactions between two Graphene sheets, or between Graphene sheet and substrate, see e.g [12–15]. We would apply a new technique to find an exact expression for the Casimir energy being specifically useful for high temperatures, which is significant for our work, since as we will see, the effective temperature of the Graphene membrane is considerably smaller than the ordinary temperatures. Then we would interpret these acoustic Casimir forces as temperature-dependent correction terms to the built-in tension of the membrane, and using the experimental values, we obtain numerical results for these temperature corrections. Subsequently, utilizing these temperature corrections, we introduce a temperature-dependent corrected version for the fundamental resonance frequency of the Graphene membrane.

2 Acoustic Casimir effect for membrane

As we know, the dynamics of a stretched membrane with a sufficiently large *tension*, respecting its *bending rigidity*, can be described, with a good approximation, using harmonic oscillators [16]. This is a valid approximation e.g. for a few-layer Graphene sheet suspended over a trench, in which a rather large (initial) built-in tension is induced due to the strong Van der Waals forces which clamp all sides of the graphene to the sidewalls of the trench, see Refs. [17–24]. Note however that this may be not a good approximation for thick multilayer Graphene membranes, which have larger values of the bending rigidity [11].

As a result of this approximation, for such a fully clamped largely tensioned membrane, these acoustic vibrations can be regarded as oscillation modes of a scalar field living in a rectangle with Dirichlet boundary conditions, with the known mode frequencies

$$\omega_{n,m} = \pi \upsilon \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{a^2} + \frac{m^2}{b^2}}; \quad a \geq b, \quad n, m = 1, 2, ... \tag{1}$$

in which, $\upsilon = \sqrt{\tau_0/\mu}$ is actually the speed of the acoustic waves in the membrane, with τ_0 and μ as the built-in tension per unit length, and the mass per unit area, respectively

of the membrane, and, "a" and "b" are the side-lengths of the rectangular trench , over which, the membrane is suspended.

Now one can quantize the system simply by taking the oscillation modes of the field, as quantum oscillators with frequencies given by Eq. (1). Then the zero-point energy of such a system at finite temperature, can be written as (see e.g. [26])

$$E_0(a,b,T)=\frac{k_BT}{2}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\ln\left[\left(\frac{2\pi k_BT}{\hbar}\right)^2 l^2+\omega_{n,m}^2\right]. \tag{2}$$

in which, "T" is the system temperature, k_B and $\hbar$ are the Boltzmann and the Planck constants respectively, and $\omega_{n,m}$ is given by Eq. (1). Utilizing the Gamma function, we rewrite the above equation as a parametric integral;

$$\begin{aligned}E_0(a,b,T) &= -\frac{k_BT}{2}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\lim_{s\to0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\hbar\nu}{2\pi k_BT}\right)^{2s}\\ &\quad\times\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{t}\frac{t^s}{\Gamma(s)}\exp\left[-t\left(l^2+\lambda_a^2n^2+\lambda_b^2m^2\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

in which ν is an arbitrary parameter with the dimension of the inverse time, and we have introduced dimensionless variables $\lambda_{a,b}=\theta_{a,b}/T$ with effective temperatures

$$\theta_a=\frac{\hbar\upsilon}{2ak_B},\quad \theta_b=\frac{\hbar\upsilon}{2bk_B}. \tag{4}$$

Note that it is specifically important, for our problem, to find an explicit expression for the zero-point energy for sufficiently high temperatures, since the (larger) effective temperature θ_b of the suspended Graphene membrane, is sufficiently lower than the ordinary temperatures, see Sec. 3. As we know, a limiting expression for the Casimir energy at high temperature, can be obtained generally by applying the known *heat kernel* expansion, see e.g. [26]. But here we use a new technique to find an exact expression for the zero-point energy, being specifically useful for high temperatures; First separating $l=0$ from the l-sum, we rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$\begin{aligned}E_0(a,b,T) &= -\frac{k_BT}{2}\lim_{s\to0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\hbar\nu}{2\pi k_BT}\right)^{2s}\\ &\quad\times\left(\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{t}\frac{t^s}{\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-t\lambda_a^2n^2\right]\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-t\lambda_b^2m^2\right]\right.\\ &\quad\left.+2\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{t}\frac{t^s}{\Gamma(s)}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-tl^2\right]\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-t\lambda_a^2n^2\right]\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-t\lambda_b^2m^2\right]\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, by applying the Poisson summation formula (see e.g. [27]) to the m-sum,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-t\lambda_b^2m^2\right]=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\lambda_b}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}+\frac{1}{2\lambda_b}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2m^2}{t\lambda_b}\right]. \tag{6}$$

in the seconed line of Eq. (5), and also applying the Poisson sum to both m- and n-sum in the third line of this equation, and after some calculations we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_0(a,b,T) = -\frac{k_B T}{2}\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\hbar\nu}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{2s} \\
&\times\Bigg[-\frac{\zeta(2s)}{2\lambda_a^{2s}}+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\lambda_b}\frac{\Gamma\left(s-1/2\right)}{\Gamma(s)}\frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\lambda_a^{2s-1}} \\
&+2\sqrt{\pi}\lambda_b\Gamma(s)\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n\lambda_a}{m\pi/\lambda_b}\right)^{-s+1/2}K_{-s+1/2}\left(2\pi nm\frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_b}\right) \\
&+\frac{\zeta(2s)}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_b}+\frac{1}{\lambda_a}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(s-1/2\right)}{\Gamma(s)}\zeta(2s-1) \\
&+\frac{\pi}{2\lambda_a\lambda_b}\frac{\Gamma(s-1)}{\Gamma(s)}\zeta(2s-2) \\
&-\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\lambda_a\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{n\pi/\lambda_a}\right)^{-s+1/2}K_{-s+1/2}\left(\frac{2nl\pi}{\lambda_a}\right) \\
&-\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\lambda_b\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{n\pi/\lambda_b}\right)^{-s+1/2}K_{-s+1/2}\left(\frac{2nl\pi}{\lambda_b}\right) \\
&+\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_a\lambda_b\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{n\pi/\lambda_a}\right)^{-s+1}K_{-s+1}\left(\frac{2nl\pi}{\lambda_a}\right) \\
&+\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_a\lambda_b\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{n\pi/\lambda_b}\right)^{-s+1}K_{-s+1}\left(\frac{2nl\pi}{\lambda_b}\right) \\
&+\frac{4\pi}{\lambda_a\lambda_b\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n,m,l=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{\left(n\pi/\lambda_a\right)^2+\left(m\pi/\lambda_b\right)^2}}\right)^{-s+1} \\
&\times K_{-s+1}\left(2l\sqrt{\left(n\pi/\lambda_a\right)^2+\left(m\pi/\lambda_b\right)^2}\right)\Bigg] \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which, K and ζ are the Bessel function of the second kind, and the Riemann zeta function, respectively. Finally, performing the limit, and after some calculations, the

zero-point energy (7) reduces to

$$E_0(a,b,T) = -\frac{k_B T}{2}\Bigg(-\frac{\pi\zeta'(-2)}{\lambda_a\lambda_b} - \frac{\pi}{12\lambda_a} - \frac{\pi}{12\lambda_b} + \frac{\pi}{12}\frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_b} - \frac{\ln\lambda_a}{2} + \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\exp\left(-2mn\pi\lambda_a/\lambda_b\right)}{m} - \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\exp\left(-2mn\pi/\lambda_a\right)+\exp\left(-2mn\pi/\lambda_b\right)}{n} + 2\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{n}\left[\frac{K_1(2nm\pi/\lambda_a)}{\lambda_b}+\frac{K_1(2nm\pi/\lambda_b)}{\lambda_a}\right] + 4\sum_{n,m,l=1}^{\infty} l\frac{K_1\left(2l\pi\sqrt{n^2/\lambda_a^2+m^2/\lambda_b^2}\right)}{\sqrt{n^2\lambda_b^2+m^2\lambda_a^2}}\Bigg) \tag{8}$$

Note that the first three terms of the above equation are the contributions of unbounded system (i.e. a rectangle with infinite side lengths) which result in nonzero terms for the Casimir force, for $a, b \to \infty$. Hence these terms should be subtracted, to find the acoustic Casimir energy of the membrane

$$E_C(a,b,T) = \frac{k_B T}{2}\Bigg(\frac{\ln\lambda_a}{2} - \frac{\pi}{12}\frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_b} - \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\exp\left(-2mn\pi\lambda_a/\lambda_b\right)}{m} + \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\exp\left(-2mn\pi/\lambda_a\right)+\exp\left(-2mn\pi/\lambda_b\right)}{n} - 2\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{n}\left[\frac{K_1(2nm\pi/\lambda_a)}{\lambda_b}+\frac{K_1(2nm\pi/\lambda_b)}{\lambda_a}\right] - 4\sum_{n,m,l=1}^{\infty} l\frac{K_1\left(2l\pi\sqrt{n^2/\lambda_a^2+m^2/\lambda_b^2}\right)}{\sqrt{n^2\lambda_b^2+m^2\lambda_a^2}}\Bigg) \tag{9}$$

Note that in contrast to the heat kernel approach, our technique has resulted in an *exact* equation for the Casimir energy. In fact an exact term such as the third term of the above equation, which would turn out to be an important term, can not be simply obtained through the heat kernel approach. For sufficiently large temperatures (with respect to the effective temperature θ_b), the last three terms can be neglected to find

$$E_C(a,b,T) \approx k_B T\left(\frac{\ln\left[\hbar\upsilon/2ak_BT\right]}{4} - \frac{\pi}{24}\frac{b}{a} - \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\exp\left(-2mn\pi b/a\right)}{2m}\right) \tag{10}$$

Then the Acoustic Casimir forces are given as

$$F_{\mathrm{C,a}}(a,b,T) = -\frac{\partial E_C}{\partial a} \approx \frac{k_BT}{a}\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi}{24}\frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{a}S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\right)$$
$$F_{\mathrm{C,b}}(a,b,T) = -\frac{\partial E_C}{\partial b} \approx \frac{k_BT}{b}\left(\frac{\pi}{24}\frac{b}{a} - \frac{b}{a}S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\right) \tag{11}$$

in which

$$S(x) \equiv \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} n\pi \exp\left(-2mn\pi x\right); \quad x \leq 1 \tag{12}$$

Through numerical computations, we have $S(x) \geq S(1) \approx 0.006$, hence (the absolute value of) $F_{\rm C,a}$ as well as $F_{\rm C,b}$ increases by decreasing the ratio b/a. However F_a is always positive-valued (i.e. repulsive), while F_b is positive-valued for $b/a \gtrapprox 0.52$, and negative-valued (i.e. attractive) for $b/a \lessapprox 0.52$. Note that for a square trench ($a = b$) we have

$$F_{\rm C,a} = F_{\rm C,b} \approx 0.125 \frac{k_B T}{a} \tag{13}$$

so for a square membrane the acoustic Casimir force is always repulsive.

3 Application to the Graphene resonator

To find an appropriate physical interpretation for the acoustic Casimir force for a clamped membrane with sufficiently large tension, we introduce the total ground state energy ($E_{\rm g}$) of the membrane as the sum of the (classical) tensional energy and the acoustic Casimir energy. Then the change in $E_{\rm g}$, of a fully clamped membrane with the built-in tension τ_0, due to infinitesimal changes δa and δb, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_{\rm g} &= (b\,\tau_0)\delta a + \frac{\partial E_{\rm C}}{\partial a}\delta a + (a\,\tau_0)\delta b + \frac{\partial E_{\rm C}}{\partial b}\delta b \\ &= b\big(\tau_0 - \frac{1}{b}F_{\rm C,a}\big)\delta a + a\big(\tau_0 - \frac{1}{a}F_{\rm C,b}\big)\delta b. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Hence one can take the quantum corrected tensions of the membrane as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a &= \tau_0 - \frac{1}{b}F_{\rm C,a} \\ \tau_b &= \tau_0 - \frac{1}{a}F_{\rm C,b} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

i.e. the acoustic Casimir forces contribute as quantum corrections to the built-in tension of the membrane. For sufficiently large temperatures the Casimir forces are given by Eq. (11), so the corrected tensions of the membrane over a rectangular trench can be written as

$$\tau_{a,b}(a,b,T) \approx \tau_0 + \Delta_{a,b}(a,b,T) \tag{16}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_a(a,b,T) &\equiv -\frac{k_B T}{b^2}\left[\frac{1}{4}\frac{b}{a} - \frac{\pi}{24}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2 S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\right] \\ \Delta_b(a,b,T) &\equiv \frac{k_B T}{b^2}\left[-\frac{\pi}{24}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2 S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with $S(x)$ given by Eq. (12). Note that for a square trench ($a = b$),

$$\tau_a = \tau_b \approx \tau_0 - 0.125\frac{k_B T}{a^2}. \tag{18}$$

while for for a narrow trench $b/a \ll 1$ we have

$$\tau_{a,b}(a, b, T) \approx \tau_0 \mp \frac{k_B T}{a^2} S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \tag{19}$$

in which the signs "$-$" and "$+$" are referred to the indexes "a" and "b" respectively. According to previous numerical computations, for a rectangular trench, the Casimir corrections always decrease τ_a , while τ_b decreases for $b/a \gtrapprox 0.52$, and increases for $b/a \lessapprox 0.52$. So as a result the Casimir corrections generally break the tensional isotropy of the fully clamped membrane (i.e. $\tau_a \neq \tau_b$). However for a square trench, the Casimir force always decreases the membrane tension.

Now we can use experimental values to obtain numerical results for the above corrections. The built-in surface tension for suspended few-layer Graphene sheets, at room temperature has been estimated as being of the order of 10^{-3} - $10^{-2} N/m$, see e.g. [22–24]. Having the surface density of the few-layer Graphene as being of the order of $10^{-6} Kg/m^2$ (see e.g. [11, 22, 23]), the acoustic wave velocity in the Graphene would be in the order of 10 to $10^2 m/s$. Consequently (having $\hbar \approx 10^{-34}$ and $k_B \approx 10^{-23}$) the effective temperature θ_b of a Graphene sheet suspended over a rectangular trench with the side-lengths both in the order of few micrometers, would be obtained as being of the order of 10^{-4} - $10^{-3} K$, see Eq. (4). This effective temperature is obviously far smaller than ordinary temperatures, hence the asymptotic expressions for the Casimir energy (10), Casimir forces (11), and the corrected tensions (16), are valid with a high degree of accuracy, for a wide range of accessible temperatures.

As one can see from Eq. (19), at room temperature ($\approx 300K$), the temperature corrections $\Delta_{a,b}$ for a trench with side-lengths both of the order of few micrometer would be of the order of $10^{-10} N/m$, which, in comparison to the built-in tension τ_0 ($\approx 10^{-3}$ - $10^{-2} N/m$), is completely negligible. However, the absolute-value of the temperature correction, $|\Delta_{a,b}|$, increases by decreasing the side-lengths a, b as well as decreasing the ratio b/a, since as one can see in the below numerical plot, $S(x)$ increases extremely by reducing "x" . As a result, for "b" and "a" e.g. in the order of few nanometers and few micrometers, respectively, using Eq. (19) with $S\,(0.001) \approx 1.3 \times 10^5$, one can find



a value of the order of $10^{-4}N/m$ for $|\Delta_{a,b}|$ at room temperature, which is noticeable in comparison to the built-in tension τ_0. Note that for $b \sim$ nm, the effective temperature θ_b would be in the order of 0.1 - $1K$, which is still considerably smaller than the ordinary temperatures. These temperature corrections can have even more importance for Graphene membranes with higher purity and at larger temperature, since actually the built-in tension of the Graphene sheet decreases by increasing the temperature and/or the purity of the Graphene, see [25], while $|\Delta_{a,b}|$ increases by increasing the temperature. Note that by decreasing the built-in tension, the effective temperature θ_b would become smaller. Then the change in the Graphene tensions ($\Delta\tau_{a,b}$), in terms of the temperature change (ΔT), can be given as

$$\Delta\tau_{a,b} \approx \mp \frac{k_B \Delta T}{a^2} S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \tag{20}$$

But, as we know, any change in the Graphene tension would be observable as a change in its resonance frequencies, specifically the fundamental resonance frequency. However, as a result of anisotropic tension of the Graphene due to the acoustic Casimir corrections, the fundamental resonance frequency of the Graphene membrane [11], should be corrected as

$$f_{11} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\frac{\tau_a}{a^2} + \frac{\tau_b}{b^2}\right)} \tag{21}$$

in which $\tau_{a,b}$ are given by Eq. (16). Then for $b/a \ll 1$, using Eq. (20), the change (in the squared value) of the fundamental resonance frequency (21), in terms of the temperature change, would be given as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f_{11}^2 &= \frac{\Delta\tau_a}{a^2} + \frac{\Delta\tau_b}{b^2} \\ &\approx \frac{k_B \Delta T}{2\mu a^2 b^2} S\left(\frac{b}{a}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Note that these temperature corrections can be applied for tuning the built-in tension, and consequently, the resonance frequency of the Graphene resonator, specifically at higher temperatures.

4 Remarks

The new results of this work, can be summarized as follow :

1. We have applied a new technique to obtain the acoustic Casimir energy at nonzero temperature for a fully clamped sufficiently tensioned thin membrane suspended over a rectangular trench, and have interpreted the Casimir forces as temperature-dependent corrections to the built-in (surface) tensions of the membrane. We have shown that these temperature corrections generally break the tensional isotropy of the membrane, and can decrease or increase its built-in tensions, while for a square trench, the corrections always decrease the membrane tension.

2. We have obtained numerical results for few-layer Graphene sheets, using the experimental values given in Refs. [22–24], and have demonstrated that for a narrow rectangular trench with side-lengths in the order of few nanometers and few micrometers, the temperature corrections to the tensions, at room temperature, would be of the order of $10^{-4}N/m$, which is expected to be noticeable. Consequently we have introduced a corrected version for the fundamental resonance frequency of the Graphene membrane, and have obtained its change in terms of the temperature change.

3. These temperature corrections would find even more importance for Graphene membranes with higher purity and at larger temperature, since the built-in tension decreases by increasing the temperature and/or the purity of the Graphene sheet [25], while (the absolute value of) the temperature correction increases by increasing the temperature. These temperature corrections can be applied for tuning the built-in tension, and consequently, the resonance frequency of the Graphene resonator, specifically at higher temperatures.

Acknowledgment

We thank Saeed Gholee-Bigloo for his helps during the numerical computations.

References

[1] Casimir, H. B. G., Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B 51, 793-5 (1948)

[2] M. Bordag, U. Mohideen and V. M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rep. **353**, 1205 (2001).

[3] K. A. Milton, The Casimir Effect (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).

[4] S. K. Lamoreaux, Reports on Progress in Physics, 68,1 (2004)

[5] M. Kardar and R. Golestanian, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 1233 (1999).

[6] G. L. Klimchitskaya and V. M. Mostepanenko Contemporary Physics, 47,3 (2006)

[7] G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen and V. M. Mostepanenko, Rev. Mod. Phys., 81, 4, (2009)

[8] A. K. Geim, Science, 2009, 324, 5934, 1530-1534

[9] J. S. Bunch , A. M. Van Der Zande, S. S. Verbridge , I. W. Frank , D. M. Tanenbaum , J. M. Parpia , H. G. Craighead , P. L. Mceuen, Science 2007, 315 (5811), 490-493.

[10] J. T. Robinson, M.Zalalutdinov , J. W. Baldwin, E. S. Snow, Z.Wei, P. Sheehan , B. H.Houston, Nano Lett. 2008, 8 (10), 3441-3445.

[11] Bunch J. S., *Mechanical And Electrical Properties Of Graphene Sheets*, PhD Thesis, Cornell University (2008)

[12] M. Bordag, I. V. Fialkovsky, D. M. Gitman, and D. V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rev. B 80, 245406 (2009).

[13] I. V. Fialkovsky, V. N. Marachevsky, and D. V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rev. B 84, 035446 (2011)

[14] M. Bordag, B. Geyer, G. L. Klimchitskaya, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rev. B 74, 205431, 2006

[15] D. Drosdoff and L. M. Woods, Phys. Rev. B 82, 155459 (2010)

[16] Timoshenko, S., D. H. Young, et al. (1974) *Vibration Problems in Engineering*, NewYork, John Wiley and Sons, Inc

[17] S. P. Koenig, N. G. Boddeti, M. L. Dunn, J. S. Bunch, Nature Nanotechnology 6, 543-546 (2011)

[18] C. Lee, X. Wei, J.W. Kysar, J. Hone, Science 321 (2008) 385-388.

[19] R.A. Barton, B. Ilic, A.M. van der Zande, W.S. Whitney, P.L. McEuen, J.M. Parpia, H.G. Craighead, Nano Lett. 11 (2011) 1232-1236.

[20] T. Yoon, W. C. Shin, T. Y. Kim, J. H. Mun, T. S. Kim, and B. J. Cho, Nano Lett. 2012, 12, 1448-1452

[21] I. W. Frank, D. M. Tanenbaum, A. M. van der Zande and P. L. McEuen, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 25 (6) 2007

[22] J.S. Bunch, S.S. Verbridge, J.S. Alden, A.M. van der Zande, J.M. Parpia, H.G. Craighead, P.L. McEuen, Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 2458-2462.

[23] C. Gmez-Navarro, M. Burghard, and K. Kern, Nano Lett. 8, 7, 2008

[24] J. W. Suk, R. D. Piner, J. An, and R. S. Ruoff, ACS Nano, 4, 11, 6557-6564 (2010)

[25] C. Chen, S. Rosenblatt, K. I. Bolotin, W. Kalb, P. Kim, I. Kymissis, H. L. Stormer, T. F. Heinz, and J. Hone, Nature Nanotechnology 4, 861 - 867 (2009)

[26] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Advances in the Casimir Effect*, Oxford Science Publications, 2009.

[27] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1948).